Twynham TGS Exams:

Non-Examination Assessment Procedure

Twynham TGS Exams: Non-examination Assessment Procedure

Centre Name	Twynham TGS
Centre Number	55209 (TS) / 55203 (TGS)
Date procedure first created	14/10/2026
Current procedure approved by	Cath Swart
Current procedure reviewed by	Rebecca Ricketts
Date of next review	01/11/2027

Key staff involved in the procedure

Role	**Name**
Executive Headteacher	Matthew Woodville
Head of Centre	Kate Ball / Laura Concar
Senior leader(s)	Cath Swart
Exams manager/officer	Rebecca Ricketts
SENCo (or equivalent role)	Lauren Lambert/Emily Thomas
Quality assurance lead/Lead internal verifier (equivalent role)	Interim – Richard Parsons

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that non-examination assessments at Twynham TGS are planned for and managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations and reflects centre practice.

References in this procedure to NEA refers to the JCQ document **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments**.

Introduction

This policy affects the delivery of all specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component, controlled assessments (where applicable) and coursework.

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, a non-examination assessment (NEA) is classified as any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (GCE & GCSE)**, Foreword)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as NEA)

(VTQ) Non-examination assessment components assess candidates' knowledge, understanding and skills that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Non-examination assessment will take many different forms.

These instructions apply to the setting, supervision, authentication, marking, internal standardisation and external moderation/verification of non-examination assessment in Vocational and Technical Qualifications. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (VTQs)**, Introduction)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as NEA VTQs)

Coursework components assess candidates' knowledge, understanding and skills that may not readily be assessed by timed written papers. Coursework will take many different forms.

These instructions are for use in ELC qualifications, Project qualifications and CCEA GCE unitised AS and A level qualifications.

These instructions are additional to any guidelines or regulations that an individual awarding body may issue. If there is conflict between the awarding body's guidelines or regulations and these instructions, the awarding body's guidelines and subject-specific instructions will take precedence. (JCQ's **Instructions for conducting coursework,** Introduction)

(This document is further referred to in this policy as ICC)

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms the JCQ requirement that Twynham Learning Secondary Schools has in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written procedure regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework, which includes details on how candidates' work will be authenticated with reference to the JCQ documents *Instructions for conducting coursework* and *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments*.

Awarding bodies require each centre to have a non-examination assessment policy in place to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments

- define staff roles and responsibilities with respect to non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments
- detail how candidates' work will be authenticated

Each year, as part of the annual declaration, the head of centre must confirm that a policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments is in place which is reviewed and updated annually by a member of the senior leadership team and communicated within the centre.

A JCQ Centre Inspector may ask the head of centre to confirm that a procedure is in place. The guidance provided in this document will help the head of centre to ensure that the centre's procedure is robust and fit for purpose.

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

Where reference is made in these procedures to non-examination assessment, this is intended to include all non-examination assessments, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

1. The basic principles

Head of centre role and responsibilities:

Returns a declaration (managed as part of. the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of **NEA NEA/VTQs and ICC**, confirming:

- all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the (GCSE English Language) Spoken Language endorsement
- (where relevant to the centre) all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the (A Level Sciences) prescribed practical activities
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is robust and fit for purpose and covers all types of nonexamination assessments
- Ensures the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the process to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leader role and responsibilities:

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, NEA, NEA VTQs ICC and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

QA lead/Lead internal verifier(or equivalent role)and responsibilities:

- Confirm with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensure appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensure appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers

- Ensure appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensure a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources, etc.

Subject lead role and responsibilities:

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the non-examination assessment process
- Ensures NEA, NEA VTQ, ICC and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Work with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Understand and comply with the general instructions as detailed in the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, NEA VTQs and ICC.
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understand and comply with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments, including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information on the awarding body's website
- Mark internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensure the exams manager/officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline for entries for the relevant exam series

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Signposts updated JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments. NEA VTQs and ICC documents to relevant centre staff
- Carry out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

2. Task setting

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Select tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification
- Checks that the tasks being set and approach being taken are appropriate and in line with the awarding body's specification as well as ethical standards and the centre's safeguarding responsibilities
- Ensures that all candidates have read the JCQ document Information for candidates – non-examination assessments, Information for candidates – coursework assessments (where applicable) and the Candidate declaration prior to beginning their work
- Make candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work
- Ensures candidates do not sign the declaration until they have completed their work and handed it in for final assessment

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Determine when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identify date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

3. Task taking

Supervision

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Ensures candidates understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments outlined in the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - social media
- Check the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensure there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensure there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their own
- To ensure that where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keep a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensure candidates:
    - understand that information from all sources must be referenced, including the use of AI and the sources resulting in the AI-generated content
    - receive guidance on setting out references and are made aware of the centre's policy on the use of AI
    - are aware that they must not plagiarise other material, including AI-generated content

Advice and feedback

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- As relevant to the subject/component, advise candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provide oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allow candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Record any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensure when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

Resources

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Refer to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI tools when planning and researching their tasks
- Ensures candidates understand they must not copy such material and claim it as their own work and that any source material must be appropriately credited and referenced
- Refers to the JCQ document *AI Use in Assessments: Your role in protecting the integrity of qualifications* (www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
    - By referencing this document and the centre's malpractice policy, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc. (The record must include all the sources used, including books, websites, audio-visual resources and AI tools)
- Ensures candidates understand how all sources included in work that is submitted for assessment must be acknowledged, including where AI is used
- Refers to the following JCQ documents to ensure their own understanding in protecting the integrity of qualifications, and preventing AI misuse and plagiarism in assessments:
    - AI Use in Assessments: Your role in protecting the integrity of qualifications
    - Preventing AI Misuse in Assessments - A summary for teachers
    - AI and Assessments: A quick guide poster for students
    - Plagiarism in Assessments

Word and time limits

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Refer to the awarding body's specification to determine whether there are minimum and/or maximum time and/or word limits

Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allow candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensure that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensure that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assess the work of each candidate individually

Authentication procedures – how candidates' work is authenticated

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:

- ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work and ensures that this takes place as soon as the candidate has completed the assessment

  signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met (Electronic signatures are acceptable. Typed names will be taken as being as binding as a handwritten signature)

- Keep signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if malpractice is suspected, follow the authentication procedures and malpractice information in the JCQ publications Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, NEA VTQs and ICC and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded by the centre to zero

Presentation of work

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Obtain informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents or carers if videos, photographs or images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instruct candidates to present work as detailed in the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments, NEA VTQs and ICC unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Ensures candidates' work for assessment is in English or Welsh, as specified by the awarding body and AI translation tools are not used to generate work for assessment
- Instruct candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensure work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensure work is securely stored
- Follow secure storage instructions as defined in the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments
- Take sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Store internally-assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, return internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, return internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed

- Remind candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line, on social media or through any other means (Remind candidates of the contents of the JCQ document Information for candidates - Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaise with IT to ensure the protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT role and responsibilities:

- Ensure appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restrict access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employ an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Centres must ensure that candidates' work is backed-up and should consider the contingency of candidates' work being backed-up on two separate devices, including one off-site back-up. Centres must implement appropriate security arrangements which protect candidates' work in the event of IT system corruption and cyber-attacks.
- Consider encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

4. Task marking - externally assessed components

Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Liaise with the exams manager/officer regarding the arrangements for any externally assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to the JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaise with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Arrange timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conduct the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ publication Instructions for conducting examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Provide the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensure the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly

- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keep a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Package the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensure that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened • Despatch the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

5. Task marking - internally assessed components

Marking and annotation

Head of centre role and responsibilities:

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject lead role and responsibilities:

- Set timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Attend/access awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Mark candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Does not use artificial intelligence as the sole means of marking candidates' work , reviews all the work in its entirety and determines the mark it warrants using their own professional judgement, regardless of the outcomes of an AI tool, remaining responsible for the mark/grade awarded
- Annotate candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Inform candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensure candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding

Internal standardisation

QA lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) and responsibilities:

- Ensure that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Support staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.) Ensure accurate internal standardisation - for example by:
- obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
- holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking

- carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
- after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
- making final adjustments to marks prior to submission retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retain evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Indicate on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Mark to common standards
- Keep candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Consortium arrangements for centre assessed NEA components

Subject lead role and responsibilities:

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead). The consortium lead liaises with the exams manager/officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre assessed work for each exam series affected.
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed.

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Provides marks to the exams manager/officer to the internal deadline.
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams manager/officer to the internal deadline.
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later.

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities (where the centre is the consortium lead):

- Submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected.
- Submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline.
- Liaises with the other exams managers/officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline.
- (Where not the consortium lead centre) Submit marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline

Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Input and submit marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams manager/officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensure checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors

- Submit the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams manager/officer to the internal deadline
- Ensure that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensure the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submit any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provide the exams manager/officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Input and submit marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirm with subject teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensure checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensure mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline Ensure that for postal moderation:
- work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
- moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
- proof of dispatch/postage is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final results
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submit any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Keep a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retain all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with IT, take steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retain some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Ensure any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

External moderation - the process

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Ensure that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaise with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Comply with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

External moderation - feedback

- Subject lead role and responsibilities:
- Check the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Check any moderator reports/feedback forms and ensure that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Access or signposts any moderator reports/feedback forms to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

6. Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Works with the SENCo (or equivalent role) to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

SENCo or equivalent role and responsibilities:

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ document Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments in relation to non-examination assessment including reference to the document Reasonable Adjustments for GCE A-level sciences – Endorsement of practical skills
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

7. Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Understand that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaise with the exams manager/officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaise with the exams manager/officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Refer to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ document A guide to the special consideration process
- Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
- Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
- Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- (As nay be applicable) Refers to/directs relevant staff to Form 15 – JCQ/LCW (lost work) and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body (For coursework, AQA and OCR centres must not submit Form 15 – JCQ/LCW. Applications must be submitted online using AQA Centre Services or SC online as appropriate)

8. Malpractice

Head of centre role and responsibilities:

- Understand the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ document Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures
- Ensure that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessments or coursework are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensure that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Is aware of the JCQ Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensure candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments and coursework
    - Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates - coursework assessments
    - Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document Information for candidates - social media
    - Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams manager/officer

- Signposts the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures* to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ *Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work* to subject heads
- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

9. Post-results services

Head of centre role and responsibilities:

- Is familiar with the JCQ document Post-Results Services

-
- Ensure the centre's internal appeals procedure clearly details the process to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

Subject lead role and responsibilities:

- Provide relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Provide advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provide the exams manager/officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components as detailed in the JCQ document Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provide/signpost relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensure any requests for post-results services that are available to centre-assessed work are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

10. Endorsements

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications (designed for use in England)

Head of centre role and responsibilities:

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming (where relevant to the centre) that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement.

QA lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) and responsibilities:

- Ensure the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject lead role and responsibilities:

- Confirm understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensure the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensure subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensure for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:

- Ensure all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follow the required task setting and task taking instructions

- Assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Provide audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follow the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (Pass, Merit, Distinction or Not Classified) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:
- Follow the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences (designed for use in England)

Head of centre role and responsibilities:
- Return the 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update confirming (where relevant to the centre) that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities. Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement.
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit.

QA lead/Lead internal verifier (or equivalent role) and responsibilities:
- Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately.

Subject lead role and responsibilities:
- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed.
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course.
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement. Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit.

Subject teacher role and responsibilities:
- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed.
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place.
- Provides all the required centre records.
- Ensures candidates provide the required records.
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC).
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams manager/officer to the internal deadline.

Exams manager/officer role and responsibilities:

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks' notice.
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline.
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome.

11. Private candidates

Subject lead role and responsibilities:

- According to centre procedure, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components/units of non-examination assessment /coursework (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body).
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification.

Candidate declaration

Subject teacher

- Ensures candidates read and understand the *Notice to Candidates* within the declaration form and understand what they will be declaring when signing
- Before signing the *Teacher declaration* within the form, ensures they have read and understood the guidance produced by JCQ on authenticating candidates' work, marking candidates' work and internal standardisation (ensuring they are familiar with the candidate's general standard of work)
- Keeps a copy of the Candidate declaration on file until the deadline for requesting a review of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later, as this may be subject to inspection by the awarding body and/or a JCQ Centre Inspector

12. Qualification/Subject specific additional information

This section provides additional information/procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments in specific subjects of qualifications.

<u>GCSE Art & Design</u>

- Component 1: Portfolio theme selected from a range of suggestions.
- Component 2: Externally-set task
- JCQ's Instructions for conducting examinations are followed for the conduct of externally set components

<u>GCSE Art & Design</u>

- Component 1: Personal Investigation assessed through portfolio
- Component 2: Externally set task
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

<u>GCE Biology</u>

- Practical Endorsement (H422/04). Students complete a minimum of 12 key practicals over the two-year course and are awarded a pass/fail grade alongside their A Level result.
- The teacher must ensure that the practical is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE Computer Science

- Component 3: Practical Programming Project
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for
- Qualifications (JCQ) regulations

GCE Chemistry

- Practical Endorsement (H432/04). Students complete a minimum of 12 key practical activities over the two year course and are awarded a pass/fail grade alongside their A Level result.
- The teacher must ensure that the practical is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCSE Dance

- Practical exam: solo performance of short of phrases taught by the teacher and assessed by video The teacher must ensure that the performance is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations

GCSE Drama

- Practical exam to assess ability to create and perform a piece of original work
- The teacher must ensure that the performance is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE Drama

- Component 2 – Creating original drama: production a working notebook and devised performance
- Component 3 – Making theatre: performance and written reflective report
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE English language

- NEA Coursework portfolio/Independent investigation. Language investigation and Original Writing and Commentary
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE English literature

- NEA (9ETO/0)4Students have a free choice of two texts to study
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCSE Food and Nutrition

- NEA1: Food Investigation Task
- NEA2: Food Preparation Task
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.
- GCE Geography
- Independent Investigation (9GE0/04) The student defines a question or issue for investigation, relating to the compulsory or optional content.
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations

GCSE Design and Technology

- Component 2: Independent Iterative Design and Make Project 40 hours
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE History

- Coursework (9HI0/04) Students complete a single assignment on a question set by the centre

- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE Media

- Coursework: Making Media. Learners will create a cross-media product in response to an WJEC set brief
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE Music

- Component 1: Performing
- Component 2: Composing
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCSE Music

- Component 1 Performance
- Component 2: Composition
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCE Music Technology

- Component 1: Recording
- Component 2: Technology-based composition
- Component 4: Producing and Analysing
- The teacher must ensure that the practical is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations

GCE Photography

- Component 1: Personal Investigation
- Component 2: Externally set. Candidates select one starting point from an early release paper
- The teacher must ensure that the NEA is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations

GCE Physical Education

- Practical Performance in physical activity and sport
- The teacher must ensure that the performance is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

GCSE Physical Education

- Practical performances in 3 different physical activities in the role of player/performer
- The teacher must ensure that the performance is conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ) regulations.

BTEC / HPQ /EPQ

- Selected units are internally assessed
- The teacher must ensure that tasks are conducted in line with awarding body guidance and Joint Council for Qualifications (JCQ)regulations

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Reference to non-examination assessment is intended to include any specifications with one or more non-examination assessment component or unit, controlled assessment (where applicable) and coursework.

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre staff malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow • the current JCQ documents **Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments** and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework • the JCQ document **Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work**. *www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/coursework-nea/*	Curriculum Lead
Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not: • submit work which is not their own • make available their work to other candidates through any medium • allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material • assist other candidates to produce work • use books, the internet, AI or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution • submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement • include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material. Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents **Information for candidates - non-examination assessments, (where applicable)** and **Information for candidates – Social Media** - *www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates/* and understand they must not post their work on social media	Curriculum Lead
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access	IT Manager / Exams Manager

	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task	Curriculum Lead
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Curriculum Lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See centre's **examination contingency plan** (Teaching staff extended absence)	Not Applicable
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	Curriculum Lead / Exams Manager
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's contingency plan (Teaching staff extended absence)	Not Applicable

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar	Curriculum Lead
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ document **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments** and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's **Non examination Assessment (including controlled assessment and coursework) Procedure**	Curriculum Lead
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ documents **Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments** (9. Malpractice) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (6. Malpractice in coursework) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document **A guide to the special consideration process** (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	SENCO Assessor / Exams Manager
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Curriculum Lead
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedure Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Curriculum Lead
A third-party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager

Issue/Risk	**Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk**	**Action by**
A third-party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: nonexamination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Curriculum Lead / Class Teacher
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and redraft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document **Information for candidates: nonexamination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments** Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Class Teacher
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Curriculum Lead
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	Exam Manager

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her nonexamination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Resources		
A candidate augments notes and resources between formally supervised sessions	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work that is submitted for assessment	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websites and audio/visual resources Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources appropriately Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Word and time limits		
A candidate is penalised by the awarding body for exceeding word or time limits	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time limits is known and understood	Exam Manager

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in groups where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to determine if group work is permitted Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Authentication procedures		
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document **Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates** Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document **Information for**	Curriculum Lead
Candidate plagiarises other material	**candidates: non-examination assessments** Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for nonexamination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: nonexamination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates: coursework assessments and the Candidate declaration form The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body	
Candidate does not sign their Candidate declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document **Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments** Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document **Information for candidates: non-examination assessments and (where applicable) Information for candidates – coursework assessments** Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Curriculum Lead / Class Teacher

Subject teacher not available to sign authentication/declaration forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures	Curriculum Lead
Issue/Risk	**Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk**	**Action by**
Presentation of work		
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Class Teacher
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments** Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Exam Manager
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ document **Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments** Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures access to this material is restricted; appropriate security safeguards are in place; an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained; any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it Additional details:	Curriculum Lead / Class Teacher
Task marking – externally assessed components		

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an unacceptable reason	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Exam Manager
Task marking – internally assessed components		
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Curriculum Lead / Class Teacher
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document **A guide to the special consideration process** (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Curriculum Lead / Class Teacher
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ documents I**nstructions for conducting nonexamination assessments** (8)/ VTQs (15) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (16), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Not Applicable
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ documents **Instructions for conducting nonexamination assessments** (9. Malpractice) VTQs (5) and (where applicable) Instructions for conducting coursework (6) Malpractice in coursework) are followed Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures** are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Curriculum Lead / Class Teacher/Exam Manager

Issue/Risk	**Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk**	**Action by**
A teacher assesses the work of a candidate with whom they have a close personal relationship e.g. members of their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships) or close friends and their immediate family (e.g. son/daughter)	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body before the published deadline for entries for each examination series Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ document **A guide to the special consideration process** (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for an extension	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication **A guide to the special consideration process** (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	Action by
A candidate wishes to appeal/request a review of the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams manager/officer for the submission of marks Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedure and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	Curriculum Lead / Exam Manager / Class Teacher
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Curriculum Lead / SLT

Issue/Risk	**Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk**	**Action by**
Subject teacher long term absence during the marking period	See centre's **contingency plan** (Teaching staff extended absence)	Not Applicable